

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

Filed: October 25, 2021

Diana L. Stadelnikas, Maglio Christopher and Toale, PA, Sarasota, FL, for Petitioner.
Voris E. Johnson, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On November 20, 2017, Patricia Stolberg (“Petitioner”), as personal representative of the estate of Peter Stolberg (“Mr. Stolberg”), filed a petition for compensation pursuant to the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program² alleging that Mr. Stolberg suffered from transverse myelitis which ultimately caused his death as a result of the influenza vaccination he received on October 5, 2016. Pet. at 1. On July 13, 2021, the parties filed a stipulation, which the undersigned adopted as her decision awarding compensation on July 14, 2021. (ECF No. 61)

On August 10, 2021, Petitioner filed an application for final attorneys' fees and costs. (ECF No. 65). ("Fees App."). Petitioner requests total attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of

¹ The undersigned intends to post this Ruling on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the Ruling will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished ruling contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755.

\$123,690.94, representing \$108,733.60 in attorneys' fees and \$14,957.34 in attorneys' costs. Fees App. at 2. Pursuant to General Order No. 9, Petitioner states that she has personally incurred costs totaling \$3,774.87 related to this litigation. *Id.* Respondent responded to the motion on August 17, 2021, stating that "Respondent is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case" and requesting that the undersigned "exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." Resp't's Resp. at 2. (ECF No. 66). Petitioner filed her reply on August 19, 2021, reiterating her belief that the requested amount of fees and costs is reasonable. (ECF No. 67).

This matter is now ripe for consideration.

I. Reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Section 15(e) (1) of the Vaccine Act allows for the Special Master to award "reasonable attorneys' fees, and other costs." § 300aa-15(e)(1)(A)–(B). Petitioners are entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs if they are entitled to compensation under the Vaccine Act, or, even if they are unsuccessful, they are eligible so long as the Special Master finds that the petition was filed in good faith and with a reasonable basis. *Avera v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 515 F.3d 1343, 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2008). Here, because Petitioner was awarded compensation pursuant to a stipulation, she is entitled to a final award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

It is "well within the special master's discretion" to determine the reasonableness of fees. *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521–22 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *see also Hines v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 22 Cl. Ct. 750, 753 (1991). ("[T]he reviewing court must grant the special master wide latitude in determining the reasonableness of both attorneys' fees and costs."). Applications for attorneys' fees must include contemporaneous and specific billing records that indicate the work performed and the number of hours spent on said work. *See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316–18 (2008).

Reasonable hourly rates are determined by looking at the "prevailing market rate" in the relevant community. *See Blum v. Stenson*, 465 U.S. 886, 895 (1984). The "prevailing market rate" is akin to the rate "in the community for similar services by lawyers of reasonably comparable skill, experience and reputation." *Id.* at 895, n.11. The petitioner bears the burden of providing adequate evidence to prove that the requested hourly rate is reasonable. *Id.*

a. Reasonable Hourly Rates

The undersigned has reviewed the hourly rates requested by petitioner for the work of her counsel at Maglio Christopher & Toale (the billing entries indicate that the majority of attorney work was performed by Ms. Diana Stadelnikas, with supporting work from Ms. Anne Toale, Mr. Altom Maglio, Ms. Danielle Strait, Mr. FJ Caldwell, Ms. LeeAnne Pedrick and Ms. Alison Haskins). The requested rates are consistent with what these attorneys have previously been awarded for their Vaccine Program work, and the undersigned finds them to be reasonable herein for the work performed in the instant case. The only exception is that it appears Mr. Caldwell billed 2.5 hours at \$404.00 per hour in 2019 rather than at his established rate of \$400.00 per hour (he also billed 16.6 hours at the correct rate). Application of this rate results in a reduction of \$10.00.

b. Reasonable Hours Expended

Attorneys' fees are awarded for the "number of hours reasonably expended on the litigation." *Avera*, 515 F.3d at 1348. Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton*, 3 F.3d at 1521 (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). Additionally, it is well-established that billing for administrative/clerical tasks is not permitted in the Vaccine Program. *Rochester v. United States*, 18 Cl. Ct. 379, 387 (1989); *Arranga v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 02-1616V, 2018 WL 2224959, at *3 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Apr. 12, 2018).

The overall hours spent on this matter appear to be reasonable. The undersigned has reviewed the billing entries and finds that they adequately describe the work done on the case and the amount of time spent on that work. None of the entries appear objectionable, nor has Respondent identified any entries as objectionable. Accordingly, Petitioner is awarded final attorneys' fees in the amount of \$108,723.60.

c. Attorneys' Costs

Like attorneys' fees, a request for reimbursement of attorneys' costs must be reasonable. *Perreira v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 27 Fed. Cl. 29, 34 (Fed. Cl. 1992). Petitioner requests a total of \$14,957.34 in attorneys' costs. Fees App. Ex. 2. This amount is comprised of acquiring medical records, postage, the Court's filing fee, and work performed by Petitioner's medical expert, Dr. Carlo Tornatore. All of these costs are typical of Vaccine Program litigation and are reasonable in the undersigned's experience. Petitioner has provided adequate documentation supporting the request. The full amount of costs is therefore awarded.

d. Petitioner's Costs

Pursuant to General Order No. 9, Petitioner has indicated she has personally incurred costs of \$3,774.87 to hire a local attorney to perform the necessary estate work. Fees App. Ex. 3. Petitioner has provided adequate documentation supporting these costs and they are reasonable in the undersigned's experience.

II. Conclusion

In accordance with the Vaccine Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e) (2012), the undersigned has reviewed the billing records and costs in this case and finds that Petitioner's request for fees and costs, other than the reductions delineated above, is reasonable. The undersigned finds that it is reasonable to compensate Petitioner and her counsel as follows:

Attorneys' Fees Requested	\$108,733.60
(Reduction to Fees)	- (\$10.00)
Total Attorneys' Fees Awarded	\$108,723.60
Attorneys' Costs Requested	\$14,957.34

(Reduction to Costs)	-
Total Attorneys' Costs Awarded	\$14,957.34
Total Attorneys' Fees and Costs	\$123,680.94
Petitioner's Costs	\$3,774.87
Total Amount Awarded	\$127,455.81

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the following:

- 1) a lump sum in the amount of \$123,680.94, representing reimbursement for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel of record, Ms. Diana Stadelnikas; and
- 2) a lump sum in the amount of \$3,774.87, representing reimbursement for Petitioner's costs, in the form of a check payable to Petitioner.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Katherine E. Oler
 Katherine E. Oler
 Special Master

³ Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party's filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).